Robert Coffin, Ph.D. President and Chief Executive Officer Replimune Group, Inc. 18 Commerce Way Woburn, MA 01801

> Re: Replimune Group, Inc. Preliminary Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed July 29, 2019 File No. 001-38596

Dear Dr. Coffin:

We have reviewed your filing and have the following comment.

Please respond to this comment within ten business days by providing the requested information or advise us as soon as possible when you will respond. If you do not believe our comment applies to your facts and circumstances, please tell us why in your response.

After reviewing your response to this comment, we may have additional comments.

Preliminary Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A

Proposal No. 2 -- Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, page 28

 We note that you are asking your stockholders to approve an amendment to your

certificate of incorporation to remove Article Eleven, Section 2, which provides that the $\,$

federal district courts of the United States of America are the exclusive forum for the

resolution of any complaint asserting a cause of action arising under the Securities $\operatorname{\mathsf{Act}}$ of

1933. We also note that Article Eleven, Section 1 of your certificate of incorporation $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

provides that the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware is the exclusive forum for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

certain litigation, including any "derivative action." Given that you are proposing to

eliminate Article Eleven, Section 2, please tell us whether Article Eleven, Section 1 will

apply to actions arising under the Securities Act, and, if it will, please tell us how you will

inform investors in future filings that there is uncertainty as to whether a court would

enforce such provision and that investors cannot waive compliance with the federal $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

securities laws and the rules and regulations thereunder. Please also tell us whether $\mbox{\sc Article}$

Eleven, Section 1 applies to actions arising under the Exchange Act. In that regard, we

note that Section 27 of the Exchange Act creates exclusive federal jurisdiction over all

Robert Coffin, Ph.D.

Replimune Group, Inc.

August 5, 2019

Page 2

suits brought to enforce any duty or liability created by the Exchange $\operatorname{\mathsf{Act}}$ or the rules and

regulations thereunder, and Section 22 of the Securities Act creates concurrent jurisdiction $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

for federal and state courts over all suits brought to enforce any duty or liability created by

the Securities Act or the rules and regulations thereunder. If $\operatorname{Article}$ Eleven, Section 1

does not apply to actions arising under the Securities Act or Exchange Act, please tell us $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

how you will inform investors in future filings that the provision does not apply to any

actions arising under the Securities Act or Exchange Act.

We remind you that the company and its management are responsible for the accuracy $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \end{tabular}$

and adequacy of their disclosures, notwithstanding any review, comments, action or absence of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

action by the staff.

You may contact Jeffrey Gabor at 202-551-2544 or Ada Sarmento at 202-551-3798 with any questions.

Sincerely,

FirstName LastNameRobert Coffin, Ph.D.

Division of

Corporation Finance Comapany NameReplimune Group, Inc.

Office of

Healthcare & Insurance
August 5, 2019 Page 2
cc: Benjamin J. Stein, Esq.
FirstName LastName